UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/598,660   | 09/07/2006  | Jukka Gustafsson     | AWEK 3511             | 8612             |  |
| 7812 7590 01/19/2011<br>CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP |             |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
| 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 1600<br>Portland, OR 97204             |             |                      | ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 3781                  |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                       |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 01/19/2011            | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                  | Applicant(s)      |  |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
|                 | 10/598,660       | GUSTAFSSON ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner         | Art Unit          |  |
|                 | NIKI M. ELOSHWAY | 3781              |  |

|  | NIKI M. ELOSHWAY   | 3781                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add            | ress            |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.                 |                 |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejection | on.             |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th         |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);<br>ter form for appeal by materially re                                     | TE below);                    |                 |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | II be entered and an e        | explanation of  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affiday   | vit or other evidence is      | necessary and   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fai       | ls to provide a |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13.   Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| /Anthony Stashick/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781   | /Niki M. Eloshway/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3781  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that "the prior art does not support the contention that the extrusion technique referred to by McLaughlin can be applied to fabrication of an object the size of the top wall of the tank section 57 shown by Gulati. The Examiner disagrees with this position. McLaughlin teaches that certain elements of the tank may be formed by extrusion. Extrusion is a well know and widely used process ideal for forming shaped aluminum pieces with a substantial length which can then be cut to the desired length if needed. McLaughlin discloses that the I-beam is extruded then cut to the desired length. The same is possible for elements of the Gulati tank.

Applicant argues that the thin plate cover 17 and stiffeners 27 of Gulati cannot be considered the profile element because they are separate components. However, the modified Gulati invention uses extrusion to form the combination of the thin plate cover 17 and stiffeners 27. The combination of thin plate 17 and stiffeners 27 can be formed by an extrusion process.

Applicant requests a specifica explanation of which elements of Gulati are considered the second third and fourth plane elements. The tank shown in figure 1A of Gulatie can have multiple mid sections, shown in figure 1C and two end pieces, shown in figure 1D. The first profile elements are the four wall sections of one mid section, and each wall section comprises a plane part 17 and a stiffening part 27. The four wall sections are attached to form the completed mid section element ("the intermediate element") shown in figure 1C. An adjacent mid section is comprised of four second profile elements, each forming a wall of that mid section and each comrprise a plane part 17 and a stiffening part 27. The mid section and adjacent mid section are joined together. To the degree set forth in the claim, the adjacent mid section can be called a "stiffener" as is provides rigidity to the tank structure. The second, third and fourth plane elements can be any of the wall members of other mid sections.